

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 17 (2006) 1738-1742

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

Enantioselective synthesis of (S,S)-ethambutol using proline-catalyzed asymmetric α -aminooxylation and α -amination

Shriram P. Kotkar and Arumugam Sudalai*

Chemical Engineering and Process Development Division, National Chemical Laboratory, Pashan Road, Pune 411 008, India

Received 15 May 2006; accepted 2 June 2006

Abstract—An efficient enantioselective synthesis of (S,S)-ethambutol, a tuberculostatic antibiotic, has been achieved in 99% ee via both proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation and α -amination of *n*-butyraldehyde as the key step. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ethambutol 1 [(S,S)-2,2'-(ethylenediimino)-di-butanol] is among the frontline antimycobacterial chemotherapeutic agents, active against nearly all strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium kansasii* as well as a number of strains of *Mycobacterium avium*.¹ The biological activity of ethambutol has been attributed to its inhibition of mycobacterial arabinosyl transferases involved in bacterial cell wall biosynthesis.² Ethambutol is administered as its (*S*,*S*)-enantiomer, which is 200–500 times more potent than the (*R*,*R*)-enantiomer and the *meso*-isomer.³

The literature methods for the synthesis of (S,S)-ethambutol involve resolution of racemic 2-amino-1-butanol,^{1,4} palladium catalyzed regio- and stereoselective epoxide opening by pthalimide as the key step,⁵ and a chiral pool approach by using L-methionine as a chiral material.^{3b} As a part of our research program aimed at developing stereocontrolled synthesis of bioactive molecules,⁶ we report a highly efficient synthesis of (S,S)-ethambutol **1** using both proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation⁹ and α -amination¹⁰ of *n*-butyraldehyde (Schemes 1 and 2).

2. Results and discussion

The field of asymmetric organocatalysis is rapidly developing and attracts an increasing number of research groups around the world. In particular, organocatalytic asymmetric synthesis has provided several new methods for obtaining chiral compounds.⁷ In this connection, proline, an abundant, inexpensive amino acid available in both enantiomeric forms, has emerged as arguably the most practical and versatile organocatalyst.⁸ Proline has also been found to be an excellent asymmetric catalyst for α -functionalization^{9,10} of carbonyl compounds. We envisaged O-protected (*S*)-2-amino-1-butanol 7 as the precursor for an asymmetric synthesis of ethambutol. Thus, we have achieved the enantioselective synthesis of (*S*,*S*)-ethambutol by employing proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation⁹ and α -amination¹⁰ of *n*-butyraldehyde; the results of which are presented in this paper.

2.1. α-Aminooxylation approach

Firstly, α -aminooxylation^{9a} of *n*-butyraldehyde was carried out using nitrosobenzene and L-proline (25 mol %) at -20 °C to furnish an aminooxy aldehyde, which upon in situ reduction with sodium borohydride afforded the α -aminooxy alcohol **2** in 85% yield; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.7$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃) {lit.^{9e} $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.5$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃)}. Alcohol **2** was then protected with TBSCl to give the corresponding silyl ether **3** in 90% yield, which on hydrogenation over Pd/C (10 mol %) furnished monoprotected diol **4** in 88% yield. Tosylation of **4** using *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride and pyridine followed by displacement of tosyl group with NaN₃ in DMF gave the azido product **6** in 75% yield. Subsequent catalytic hydrogenation of azide with Pd/C–H₂ (1 atm) afforded the protected amine **7** in 95% yield (Scheme 1).

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 20 25902174; fax: +91 20 25902676; email: a.sudalai@ncl.res.in

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2006.06.011



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) PhNO, L-proline (25 mol %), -20 °C, 24 h then MeOH, NaBH₄, 85%; (b) TBSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 3 h, 90%; (c) H₂ (1 atm), Pd/C (10%), Et₃N, MeOH, 12 h, 88%; (d) *p*-TsCl, Py, 24 h, 95%; (e) NaN₃, DMF, 60 °C, 30 h, 75%; (f) H₂ (1 atm), Pd–C (10%), Et₃N, MeOH, 6 h, 95%.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) dibenzyl azodicarboxylate, D-proline (10 mol %), 0–20 °C, 3 h then NaBH₄, EtOH, 92%; (b) H₂ (12 bar), Raneynickel, MeOH, AcOH, 70%; (c) TBSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 0–20 °C, 3 h, 85%.

2.2. α-Amination approach

In the second approach, α -amination of *n*-butyraldehyde was carried out using List's protocol.^{10a} Thus, *n*-butyraldehyde was treated with dibenzyl azodicarboxylate in the presence of D-proline (10 mol %) to furnish an aminoaldehyde, which upon in situ reduction with sodium borohydride afforded the protected aminoalcohol **8** in 92% yield. Aminoalcohol **8** was then hydrogenated using Raneynickel¹¹ as a catalyst to give (*S*)-2-amino-1-butanol **9** in 70% yield; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +10.1$ (neat), {lit.¹² $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +10$ (neat) for 96% ee}. Protection of the hydroxyl group in amino alcohol **9** with TBSCl afforded silyl ether **7** in 85% yield (Scheme 2).

Finally, protected aminoalcohol 7 was transformed into (S,S)-ethambutol in two steps. Thus, amine 7 on treatment with 0.5 equiv of oxalyl chloride and pyridine furnished oxalyldiamide 10 in 98% yield. The reduction of diamide

and TBS deprotection were carried out in one-pot reaction using lithium aluminum hydride at reflux conditions to give (S,S)-ethambutol **1** in 80% yield and 99% ee (Scheme 3). The physical and spectroscopic data were in full agreement with the literature values.^{3b}

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully applied proline-catalyzed α -aminooxylation and α -amination strategies toward the synthesis of (*S*,*S*)-ethambutol, which was obtained in 99% ee. The operationally simple reactions are rapid, and require a relatively low amount of an inexpensive and nontoxic proline-catalyst that is available in both enantiomeric forms. The high overall yields (35.7% via α -aminooxylation and 43% via α -amination) and small number of steps renders our approach a good alternative to the known methods.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) oxalyl chloride (0.5 equiv), Py, CH₂Cl₂, 12 h, 98%; (b) LAH, THF, reflux, 24 h, 80%.

4. Experimental

4.1. General information

The solvents were purified and dried by standard procedures before use. Melting points are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured using sodium D line on a JASCO-181 digital polarimeter. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker AC-200 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out on a Carlo Erba CHNS-O analyzer.

4.2. (R)-2-(N-Phenylaminooxy)butan-1-ol 2

To a CH₃CN (60 mL) solution of *n*-butyraldehyde (4.5 mL, 50 mmol) and nitrosobenzene (2.67 g, 25 mmol) was added L-proline (718 mg, 6.2 mmol, 25 mol %) at -20 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the same temperature for 24 h followed by addition of MeOH (25 mL) and NaBH₄ (2.8 g, 75 mmol) to the reaction mixture, which was stirred for 10 min. After addition of phosphate buffer, the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(60 \text{ mL} \times 3)$ and the combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄. Purification by column chromatography with silica gel (pet. ether-EtOAc = 80:20) afforded aminowith since ger (pet. effet–EtOAC = 80.20) another annihilation oxy alcohol **2** (3.8 g, 85% yield); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.7$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃) {lit.^{9e} $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +20.5$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃)}. IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3375, 3012, 2933, 1955, 1689, 1605, 1596, 1483, 1298, 1217, 1070, 756 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.01 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.4–1.79 (m, 2H), 3.81 (m, 2H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 6.93 (m, 3H), 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.4 (br s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.17, 26.0, 64.43, 85.23, 114.66, 122.2, 128.92, 148.51 ppm. Elemental analysis: C10H25NO required C, 66.27; H, 8.34; N, 7.73. Found C, 66.15; H, 8.26; N, 7.80.

4.3. (R)-2-N-Phenylaminooxy(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane 3

To a solution of alcohol 2 (3.0 g, 16.5 mmol) dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added imidazole (1.34 g, 19.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv) at 0 °C. After stirring for 10 min, TBDMSCl (2.73 g, 18.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h. After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was then purified by column chromatography with silica gel (pet. ether-EtOAc = 98:2). Colorless liquid, yield = 4.4 g, 90%: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +42.35$ (c 1, CHCl₃). IR (neat) v_{max} 3412, 2929, $28\overline{5}6$, 2360, 1718, 1600, 1471, 1255, 1097, 910, 775 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.09 (s, 6H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 3H), 1.61 (m, 2H), 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.78 (m, 1H), 6.97 (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 2H), 7.25 (br s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -5.42, 10.17, 18.30, 23.01, 25.87, 64.46, 85.25, 114.30, 121.55, 128.80, 149.09. Elemental analysis: C₁₆H₂₉NO₂Si required C, 65.03; H, 9.89; N, 4.74. Found C, 65.1; H, 9.85; N, 4.78.

4.4. ((R)-2-Hydroxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane 4

To a solution of 3 (4.0 g, 13.5 mmol) in MeOH was added 10% Pd/C (200 mg) carefully followed by addition of 5–6

drops of Et₃N. The reaction mixture was then stirred in a hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm of H₂) for 12 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad and then concentrated to near dryness. The crude product was then purified by silica gel chromatography (pet. ether–EtOAc 95:5). Yield = 2.4 g, 88%. Colorless liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -9.4$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3569, 3018, 2859, 2957, 2400, 1711, 1460, 1362, 1216, 1093, 927, 837, 669 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.06 (s, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.32 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (m, 2H), 2.42 (br s, 1H), 3.39–3.59 (m, 2H), 3.54 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -5.45, 9.85, 18.21, 25.69, 25.80, 66.85, 73.15 ppm. Elemental analysis: C₁₀H₂₄O₂Si required C, 58.77; H, 11.84. Found C, 58.66; H, 12.05.

4.5. (*R*)-1-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxybutan-3-yl)4-methylbenzenesulfonate 5

To a solution of alcohol 4 (2.4 g, 11.7 mmol) in pyridine (30 mL) kept at 0 °C was added *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (2.44 g, 12.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 25 °C for 24 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), pyridine was removed under reduced pressure. To the residue was added water (30 mL) and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The crude product was then purified by silica gel chromatography (pet. ether-EtOAc = 97:3). Colorless liquid, yield = 4 g, 95%; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +17.0$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3030, 2955, 2930, 1598, 1496, 1463, 1362, 1255, 1188, 1099, 915, 835, 758, 665 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ -0.02 (s, 6H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 0.79 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 3H), 1.63 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 7.29 (d. 3H), 3.61–3.65 (m, 2H), 4.38 (m, 1H), J = 8.34 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.35 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3)$: δ -5.62, 9.02, 18.14, 24.03, 25.69, 63.52, 73.12, 84.34, 127.70, 129.58, 134.42, 144.34. Elemental analysis: C₁₇H₃₀O₄SSi required C, 56.94; H, 8.43; S, 8.94. Found C, 56.82; H, 8.38; S, 8.75.

4.6. ((S)-2-Azidobutoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane 6

To a solution of **5** (4.0 g, 11.1 mmol) in DMF (40 mL) was added sodium azide (5.0 g, excess), and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 60 °C for 30 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was poured into 50 mL water and extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 50 mL) to give the crude product, which was purified, by column chromatography (pet. ether) as a colorless liquid, yield = 1.91 g, 75%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +21.9$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.07 (s, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (m, 2H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.69 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -5.59, 10.56, 18.19, 23.47, 25.75, 65.30, 65.98. Elemental analysis: C₁₀H₂₃N₃O-Si required C, 52.36; H, 10.11; N, 18.32. Found C, 56.25; H, 10.10; N, 18.48.

4.7. ((S)-2-Aminobutoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane 7

To the solution of azide **6** (1.9 g, 8.2 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL) was added 10% Pd/C (100 mg) carefully followed by addition of 5–6 drops of Et_3N . The reaction mixture was then stirred in a hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm of H_2)

for 6 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad, concentrated to near dryness to get amine 7 which was purified by column chromatography with neutral Al₂O₃ (pet. ether–EtOAc = 70:30). Yield = 1.6 g, 95%. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +9.7$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃). IR (neat) v_{max} 3355, 2952, 2927, 2856, 1739, 1589, 1471, 1253, 1103, 837, 775, 667 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.03 (s, 6H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.33 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (m, 2H), 1.78 (br s, 2H), 2.70 (m, 1H), 3.27–3.59 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ –5.48, –3.56, 10.46, 18.23, 25.84, 54.33. Elemental analysis: C₁₀H₂₅NOSi required C, 59.05; H, 12.39; N, 6.89. Found C, 59.2; H, 12.44; N, 6.88.

4.8. (S)-2-(1,2-Dibenzyloxycarbonylhydrazinyl)-1-butanol 8

A mixture of dibenzyl azodicarboxylate (90%, 8.25 g, 25 mmol, 1 equiv) and D-proline (287 mg, 2.49 mmol, 10 mol %) in CH₃CN (200 mL) was taken and cooled to 0 °C, n-butyraldehyde (2.7 g, 37.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added to it and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the same temperature for 2 h and then warmed to 20 °C within 1 h. After the reaction mixture became colorless it was cooled to 0 °C, treated with EtOH (150 mL) and NaBH₄ (1.2 g), and was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was worked up by adding half-concentrated aq ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ethyl acetate (100 mL \times 3). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (pet. ether-ethyl acetate = 85:15), which furnished a white solid, mp = 65 °C, yield = 8.6 g, 92%; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +14.3$ (c 1, CHCl₃). IR (Nujol) v_{max} : 3550, 3261, 2954, 2875, 1720, 1681, 1537, 1456, 1377, 1263, 1062 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.81 (m, 3H), 1.36 (m, 2H), 3.46 (m, 2H), 4.5 (br s, 1H), 5.15 (m, 4H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 7.35 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.36, 20.82, 61.74, 61.78, 68.02, 68.08, 128.08, 135.06, 157.28. Elemental analysis: C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₅ required C, 64.50; H, 6.50; N, 7.52. Found C, 64.52; H, 6.45; N, 7.44.

4.9. (S)-2-Aminobutan-1-ol 9

Alcohol **8** (6.0 g, 16 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (40 mL), AcOH (10 drops) and treated with Raney nickel (10.0 g, excess) for 24 h under 12 bar of hydrogen. The reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and concentrated to give the corresponding aminoalcohol **9** as a color-less liquid (1.0 g, 70%); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +12.3$ (*c* 2, EtOH), {lit.^{4b} $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +12.5$ (*c* 2, EtOH)}. IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3450, 3560, 2960, 1650, 1420, 1060 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.95 (t, *J* = 7.58 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (m, 2H), 3.40 (m, 1H), 3.57 (m, 1H), 4.07 (br s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 9.92, 25.87, 66.11, 73.60; MS (*m*/*z*, % RI) 89 (M+), 71, 60, 58, 56, 41.

4.10. ((S)-2-Aminobutoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane 7

To a solution of amino alcohol **5** (1.0 g, 11.2 mmol) dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) kept at 0 °C was added imidazole (0.916 g, 13.4 mmol, 1.2 equiv) after stirring for 10 min, TBSCl (1.8 g, 12.3 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was then purified by column chromatography on neutral Al₂O₃ (pet. ether–EtOAc = 70:30) as colorless liquid (yield = 1.87 g, 85%) $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +9.5$ (*c* 1, CHCl₃).

4.11. (S,S)- N^1 , N^2 -Bis(1-*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxybutan-3-yl)oxamide 10

To a solution of amine 7 (1.21 g, 6 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), pyridine (1.04 g, 13.2 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, followed by dropwise addition of oxalyl chloride (378 mg, 3 mmol, 0.5 equiv dissolved in CH₂Cl₂). On stirring the reaction mixture at 25 °C overnight, it was quenched with water (10 mL) and was extracted with EtOAc ($20 \text{ mL} \times 3$). The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (pet. ether) as a white solid, mp 86 °C; yield = 2.6 g, quan-(pc) concerning $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -60.3$ (c 1, CHCl₃) for α -amination and $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -59.8$ (c 1, CHCl₃) for α -amination approach. IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3629, 3547, 2985, 2086, 1888, 1739, 1507, 1458, 1374, 1241, 1047, 917, 846, 607 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.03 (s, 12H), 0.88 (s, 18H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 6H), 1.57 (m, 2H), 3.61 (m, 1H), 3.63 (br s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ -5.60, 10.40, 18.17, 24.11, 63.73. 159.47. 25.78. 52.70. Elemental analysis: C₂₂H₄₈N₂O₄Si₂ required C, 57.34; H, 10.50; N, 6.08. Found C, 57.48; H, 10.66; N, 6.24.

4.12. (*S*,*S*)-Ethambutol 1

To a solution of lithium aluminum hydride (1.2 g, 30 mmol) in dry THF at 0 °C was added amide 10 (in THF) (2.5 g, 5.4 mmol) carefully. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h. After completion (TLC), the reaction mixture was quenched by 10% NaOH (2 mL) and water (2 mL). The precipitate formed was filtered off and washed with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were concentrated under reduced pressure, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and recrystallized (ethyl acetate/hexane) to furrish ethambutol as a white solid (mp = 88 °C, lit.¹² mp 87.5–88.8 °C). Yield = 0.87 g, 80%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +13.6$ (c 2, H₂O) (99% ee) for α-aminooxylation and $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +13.4$ $(c_{2}^{2}, H_{2}O)$ (97% ee) for α -amination approach {lit.¹³ $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +13.7$ (c 2, H₂O)}. IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} : 3465, 2984, 1567, 1447, 1374, 1242, 1047, 758 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.92 (t, J = 7.55 Hz, 6 H), 1.42 (m, 4H), 2.55 (m, 2H), 2.71 (m, 2H), 2.84 (m, 2H), 2.99 (br s, 2H), 3.34 (dd, J = 7.23, 10.88, 2H), 3.59 (dd, J = 3.74, 10.97, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.33, 23.96, 46.52, 60.42, 62.99. Elemental analysis: C10H24N2O2 required C, 58.79; H, 11.84; N, 13.71. Found C, 58.85; H, 11.74; N, 13.55.

Acknowledgements

S.P.K. thanks CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of research fellowships. The authors are thankful to Dr. B. D. Kulkarni, Head, CEPD, for his support and encouragement.

References

- (a) Wilkinson, R. G.; Shepherd, R. G.; Thomas, J. P.; Baughn, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 2212; (b) Shepherd, R. G.; Wilkinson, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1962, 5, 823; (c) Wilkinson, R. G.; Cantrall, M. B.; Shepherd, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1962, 5, 835; (d) Pablos-Méndez, A.; Ravigilone, M. C.; Laszlo, A.; Binkin, N.; Reider, H. L.; Bustreo, F.; Cohn, D. L.; Lambregts-van Weezenbeek, C. S.; Kim, S. J.; Chavlet, P.; Nunn, P. N. Engl. J. Med. 1998, 338, 1641.
- Takayama, K.; Armstrong, E. L.; Kunugi, K. A.; Kilburn, J. O. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1979, 16, 240.
- 3. (a) Hausler, H.; Kawakami, R. P.; Mlaker, E.; Severn, W. B.; Stutz, A. E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1679; (b) Stauffer, C. S.; Datta, A. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 9765, and references cited therein.
- For a review, see: (a) Blessington, B. Chem. Anal. (New York) 1997, 142, 235; (b) Periasamy, M.; Sivakumar, S.; Reddy, M. Synthesis 2003, 13, 1965; (c) Svedas, V. K.; Guranda, D. T.; Khimiouk, A. I.; Sheldon, R. A.; Van R. F.; Van L.; Lukas M. PCT Int. Appl. 2002, WO 2002020821, A2 20020314.
- Trost, B. M.; Bunt, R. C.; Lemoine, R. C.; Calkins, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5968.
- (a) Sayyed, I. A.; Thakur, V. V.; Nikalje, M. D.; Dewkar, G. K.; Kotkar, S. P.; Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 2831; (b) Thakur, V. V.; Nikalje, M. D.; Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2003, 14, 581; (c) Paraskar, A. S.; Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 5756; (d) Paraskar, A. S.; Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 4907; (e) Sayyed, I. A.;

Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 3111; (f) Sayyed, I. A.; Sudalai, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5435.

- (a) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3726; (b) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138; (c) Houk, K. N., List, B., Eds. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37; (d) List, B., Bolm, C., Eds. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346; (e) Asymmetric Organocatalysis; Berkessel, A., Gröger, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; (f) List, B.; Seayad, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 719.
- 8. For a review of proline-catalyzed asymmetric reactions see: List, B. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 5573.
- (a) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Hibino, K.; Shoji, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 8293; (b) Zhong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4247; (c) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Sumiya, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 43, 1112; (d) Brown, S. P.; Brochu, M. P.; Sinz, C. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10808; (e) Cordova, A.; Sunden, H.; Bøgevig, A.; Johansson, M.; Himo, F. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 3673.
- (a) List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 125, 5656; (b) Bogevig, A.; Juhl, K.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Zhuang, W.; Jorgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1790; (c) Kumaragurubaran, N.; Juhl, K.; Zhuang, W.; Bogevig, A.; Jorgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6254; (d) Vogt, H.; Vanderheiden, S.; Brase, S. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2448; (e) Iwamura, H.; Mathew, S. P.; Blackmond, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11770.
- 11. Udodong, U. E.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2103.
- 12. Wilkinson, R. G.; Cantrall, M.; Shepherd, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1962, 5, 835–845.